

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/386,057	RUFFIN ET AL.
	Examiner Richard Woo	Art Unit 3639

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Richard Woo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lawrence D. Cutter (Reg. No. 28,501).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2005

**Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Cutter provided the clear definition regarding "cost associated with the migration" in Claim, wherein the cost is directed to the financial (\$) cost not in technical terms (i.e. MegaByte/sec). Further, Mr. Cutter would submit the terminal disclaimer being associated with U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,769. .